

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/610,687	WORKMAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Daniel S. Metzmaier	1712

All Participants:

Status of Application: Rejected

(1) Daniel S. Metzmaier

(3) _____

(2) Robert Faedke, for Michael B. Martin.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 September 2006

Time: ~ 11:20 AM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none.

Claims discussed:

none.

Prior art documents discussed:

none.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner called applicants' representatives' Office to check the status of the application. Applicants' representatives Office indicated no response to the Office Action mailed March was filed.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

DANIEL S. METZMAIER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 1712
Daniel S. Metzmaier

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)